SECRET

14 November 1980

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	SA to the DCI	for Compartmentation	
5X1	FROM:		]	
···. •	SUBJECT:	APEX Steering	Group Meeting, 12 November 1980	
. • •	1. The 43r 1980. The follow	d meeting of the	he APEX Steering Group was held on 12 November	
		ACS OSD	Chairman Maynard Anderson	25X
		COMIREX SIGINT		25X
		Army FBI NSA	Merrill T. Kelly David G. Major	25X
		DIA AF	Colonel Robert A. Shiver	20/
		Navy INR CIA	Richard Welch William Deary	25X
		SECOM DIA DIA		
		NSA RMS		25X
		SIGINT State	Donald Stigers	
		NSA Army	Major William Poage	25X
				25X
	2. The Cha	irman opened the	ne meeting by distributing the APEX portion of noted that appeals were due by noon that day.	
5X1	He also distributhe group when co	ted a list of A	PEX questions received from the SSCI passed to	25

Approved For Release 2004/0\$/29 CCAERDP85T00788R000100070028-7

SECRET

•		
5X1 5X1	3. reported on a recent ACS trip to the West Coast to brief personnel. He mentioned their concern that their limited	
	that they question the benefits of APEX to their efforts. He stated his own belief that the latter results from their being cloistered and not able to	
5X1	see the "big picture."	•
•	4. An OSD response to the proposal that compartmentation of APEX codewords be permissible was distributed. later indicated that a new proposal will be available by 25 N	<b>25</b> X1
25X1 25X1	5. Chief of the NSA staff element responsible for second and third party affairs, briefed the group on arrangements with third parties and responded to questions. A summary of her briefing is provided separately to attendees.	
	6. Copies of a memorandum on APEX implementation from the Chief of Naval Material to the CNO were distributed. Mr. John Costello of Naval Material	
	Command discussed the memorandim which was generated in response to a GNO	
	request for an assessment of the APEX impact on Navy contracts. To combanism to	
	that they really cannot determine the costs at this time. At least this time	
***	companies were contacted and responses ranged in to \$20M. Determining costs	•
	is complicated by the need to sort out the non-recurring costs which should be shared by all government customers of a specific company. These often,	
	currently, do not even know of each other's "existence" Sources of funding	
	must be determined inrough decisions on that which was to be progrand but	
	must now be cut back. Naval Material believes that they will have to proceed	
	on a contract pasis, negotiating APEX into each new contract as	
	it comes up. Over about a year the bulk of Navy contracts would be completed.  Some expiring contracts would not even have to be addressed. The true cost	
	will have to be learned by implementing. Mr. Costello noted that estimates	
	by companies had been based on only 5-7 days of thought and were based on	
	questionable assumptions. Matters such as the disposition of previously	
	granted warvers will also attect costs   findicated that for the t	25X1
	from Navy contractors appeared to be the same as from his organization's	
	contractors. There was discussion of which security provisions are requirements arising out of APEX or out of other directives. In response to a question from Mr. Person Mr. Description from Mr. Des	· Jane · ·
	LIOII II UII Mr. Deary, Mr. Lostello indicated that approximately on days and it is	
	required for conversion of the first contract(s) and the time requirement is	
	married by the fiscal year in which it takes place. There was a question	
<u> </u>	whether or not a contract has to be renegotiated before provision can be	ing the second second
4.00	made to provide APEX material to a contractor. The subject of DoD procedural	
	manuals for contractors was raised by It was explained that DoD	25X1
	manuals implement national directives. Contracts do not reference the manuals and are not normally renegotiated when new security direction is developed.	7 / 200
25X1	questioned the leasibility of one company with fifteen large contracts	
	having them converted according to different schedules. The Chairman noted	
	diac auditors are ress ground about forcing contractors to shooth costs and	
₽ (4.1)	about getting costs reduced than are contracting officers.	25X1

## SECRET

		25X1
25X1 25X1	7. Draft #9 of the HUMINT Product manual was distributed. Discussion was deferred until the next meeting, pending discussion  There was a question of whether or not, under current procedures, DIA satisfies the accountability requirements specified (and objected to) in the draft manual.	
25X1	8. A chart of APEX milestone information to be the basis for the 18 November NFIB discussion was distributed. In response to a question from Mr. Deary, noted that the SIGINT suboperational compartment cannot be described until the cut-off between product and operational data is estab- lished. In response to the Chairman, described the drafting, coordination, and review cycles behind the dates provided for NSA-produced manuals. He noted that time estimates for all of this are regarded as con- servative and may be adversely affected by meeting schedules, etc. He also noted that estimates of the time for manual completion had resulted in a	25X1
25X1	December 1981 date which was arbitrarily moved back to September 1981.  noted that his organization's estimates are based on an arbitrary assumption of six weeks for community coordination on compartment and subcompartment manuals. However, the completion dates were the dates on which dissemination would begin. Mr. Anderson suggested that ninety additional days must be allowed for DoD dissemination.	25X1 25X1
25X1 25X1	reviewed the IMAGERY manual milestones with the aid of a viewgrath chart. He noted that the schedule is considered optimistic.	·
25X1	reported that the dates associated with the COMINT and TECHNICAL manuals do not include development of the video indoctrination material. Otherwise, the dates are considered realistic and work is currently proceeding slightly ahead of schedule.	25X1
25X1	indicated that sixty days and thirty days are the estimates for CIA access review and indoctrination respectively.	EGIB 25X1

## Approved For Release 2004/01/29 : CIA-RDP85T00788R000100070028-7

SECRET

			-
·	required. He also emphasized the spections currently uncover severe	ting of the Navy's billet system that is dependence on resources noting that IG e deficiencies which are already known to y are working a great deal of overtime.	in-
5X1	because they have already started	the State Department estimates were low some access review and they assume gran tments and subcompartments would not be	
·	The Chairman said that the with a supporting paper developed	ne milestone chart would be provided to by the ACS.	NFIB 25X1
•	9. The latest version of the review and discussion at the next	e policy paper on ACF's was distributed meeting.	for 25X1
			25X1
e and the state of			
5X1	SA/DCI/cs		
	Distribution: Orig - SA/DCI/C File 1 - SA/DCI/C Chrono 1 - William P. Deary, INR/ 1 - Richard Welch, Navy	'State	
5X1	1 - Maynard Anderson, OSD 1 - 1 - Merrill T. Kelly, ACSI 1 - Col. Robert A. Shiver,		
5X1	1 - David G. Major, FBI	rman, SIGINT Committee an, COMIREX APEX Security Officer	
or transfer of the second of t		NFAC munity Affairs	
	1 - Executive Registry		er er er e.